

8 General Linear Transformations

8.1 Basic Properties

Definition 8.1 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a function from a vector space V into a vector space W , then T is called a *linear transformation* from V to W if, for all vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in V and all scalars c ,

$$(a) T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v}) \quad (b) T(c\mathbf{u}) = cT(\mathbf{u}).$$

In the special case where $V = W$, the linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ is called a *linear operator* of V .

Example 8.1 A linear transformation from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^m is first defined in Definition 2.2 as a function

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$$

for which the equations relating y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m with x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linear, and it was expressed by a matrix multiplication:

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}, \text{ where } A = [T(\mathbf{e}_1), T(\mathbf{e}_2), \dots, T(\mathbf{e}_n)].$$

The matrix A was called the standard matrix and denoted by $A = [T]$ and $T = T_A$. Moreover, linear transformations were characterized by the two properties in Definition 8.1. See Theorem 2.2.

Example 8.2 Let V be an inner product space and W a subspace of V . Then the orthogonal projection $\text{proj}_W : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation (or linear operator), and that $\text{proj}_W(V) = W$.

Example 8.3 [Examples 11, 12] Let $C^\infty(a, b)$ be the set of functions that are differentiable for all degrees of differentiation

1. $D : C^\infty(a, b) \rightarrow C^\infty(a, b)$ ($f(x) \mapsto f'(x)$) is a linear operator.
2. $I : C^\infty(a, b) \rightarrow C^\infty(a, b)$ ($f(x) \mapsto \int_a^x f(t)dt$) is a linear operator.

Lemma 8.1 (8.1.1) *If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then*

- (a) $T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$.
- (b) $T(-\mathbf{v}) = -T(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.
- (c) $T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i T(\mathbf{v}_i)$ for all $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m \in V$ and all scalars k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m .

Proof. (a): $T(\mathbf{0}) = T(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) = T(\mathbf{0}) + T(\mathbf{0})$. By adding $-T(\mathbf{0})$ on both hand sides, we have $\mathbf{0} = T(\mathbf{0})$.

(b): $T(\mathbf{v}) + T(-\mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v})) = T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$. Hence by adding $-T(\mathbf{v})$ on both hand sides, we have $T(-\mathbf{v}) = -T(\mathbf{v})$.

(c): This is straightforward as

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) &= T(k_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + k_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + k_m \mathbf{v}_m) \\ &= T(k_1 \mathbf{v}_1) + T(k_2 \mathbf{v}_2) + \cdots + T(k_m \mathbf{v}_m) \\ &= k_1 T(\mathbf{v}_1) + k_2 T(\mathbf{v}_2) + \cdots + k_m T(\mathbf{v}_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m k_i T(\mathbf{v}_i). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition 8.2 *Let T_1 and T_2 be linear transformations from V to W , and $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ a basis of V^1 . Then the following are equivalent.*

(a) $T_1 = T_2$, i.e., $T_1(\mathbf{v}) = T_2(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

(b) $T_1(\mathbf{v}_i) = T_2(\mathbf{v}_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) is obvious.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Lemma 8.1 (c) as

$$T_1(\mathbf{v}) = T_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i T_1(\mathbf{v}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i T_2(\mathbf{v}_i) = T_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) = T_2(\mathbf{v}),$$

when \mathbf{v} is expressed as $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \mathbf{v}_i$. ■

Proposition 8.3 *Let V and W be vector spaces, $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ a basis of V and $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n \in W$. Then there exists a unique linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{w}_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Propotion 8.2. Since for every vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ there exist scalars k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n such that

$$\mathbf{v} = k_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + k_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + k_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

By Proposition 4.1, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n are uniquely determined for each \mathbf{v} . Let

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = k_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + k_2 \mathbf{w}_2 + \cdots + k_n \mathbf{w}_n.$$

¹The condition $V = \text{Span}(S)$ is enough.

Then the vector on the right hand side of the equation above is uniquely determined, and this assignment T is a linear transformation satisfying the condition. To see this let $\mathbf{u} = \ell_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \ell_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \ell_n\mathbf{v}_n \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) &= T(\ell_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \ell_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \ell_n\mathbf{v}_n + k_1\mathbf{v}_1 + k_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + k_n\mathbf{v}_n) \\
&= T((\ell_1 + k_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (\ell_2 + k_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + (\ell_n + k_n)\mathbf{v}_n) \\
&= (\ell_1 + k_1)\mathbf{w}_1 + (\ell_2 + k_2)\mathbf{w}_2 + \cdots + (\ell_n + k_n)\mathbf{w}_n \\
&= \ell_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \ell_2\mathbf{w}_2 + \cdots + \ell_n\mathbf{w}_n + k_1\mathbf{w}_1 + k_2\mathbf{w}_2 + \cdots + k_n\mathbf{w}_n \\
&= T(\ell_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \ell_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \ell_n\mathbf{v}_n) + T(k_1\mathbf{v}_1 + k_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + k_n\mathbf{v}_n) \\
&= T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can show $T(k\mathbf{v}) = kT(\mathbf{v})$ similarly. ■

Proposition 8.4 (8.1.2) *Let $T_1 : U \rightarrow V$ and $T_2 : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations. Then the composition of T_2 with T_1 defined by*

$$T_2 \circ T_1 : U \rightarrow W \quad (\mathbf{x} \mapsto T_2(T_1(\mathbf{x}))).$$

is a linear transformation.

Proof. It suffices to prove the conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 8.1.

(a): For $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 \circ T_1(\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2) &= T_2(T_1(\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2)) = T_2(T_1(\mathbf{u}_1) + T_1(\mathbf{u}_2)) \\
&= T_2(T_1(\mathbf{u}_1)) + T_2(T_1(\mathbf{u}_2)) = T_2 \circ T_1(\mathbf{u}_1) + T_2 \circ T_1(\mathbf{u}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

(b): For $\mathbf{u} \in U$ and a scalar k ,

$$T_2 \circ T_1(k\mathbf{u}) = T_2(T_1(k\mathbf{u})) = T_2(kT_1(\mathbf{u})) = kT_2(T_1(\mathbf{u})) = k(T_2 \circ T_1(\mathbf{u})).$$

Hence $T_2 \circ T_1 : U \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation. ■

8.2 Kernel and Range

Proposition 8.5 (8.2.1) *If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then*

(a) $\{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}$ *is a subspace of V .*

(b) $\{T(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ *is a subspace of W .*

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.2.

(a): Let $U = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}$. Note that for $\mathbf{v} \in V$, $\mathbf{v} \in U \Leftrightarrow T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in U$ and k a scalar. Since $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}$,

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = T(\mathbf{v}_1) + T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \in U$ whenever $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in U$. Similarly

$$T(k\mathbf{v}_1) = kT(\mathbf{v}_1) = k\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence $k\mathbf{v}_1 \in U$ whenever $\mathbf{v}_1 \in U$. Therefore U is a subspace of V .

(b): Let $U = \{T(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ and $T(\mathbf{v}_1), T(\mathbf{v}_2) \in U$, where $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$. Then

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1) + T(\mathbf{v}_2) = T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) \in U$$

as $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$. Moreover if k is a scalar,

$$kT(\mathbf{v}_1) = T(k\mathbf{v}_1) \in U$$

and U is a subspace of W . ■

Definition 8.2 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then the set of vectors in V that T maps into $\mathbf{0}$ is called the *kernel* of T ; it is denoted by $\text{Ker}(T)$. The set of all vectors in W that are images under T of at least one vector in V is called the *range* of T ; it is denoted by $\text{Im}(T)$. The dimension of the range of T is called the *rank* of T and is denoted by $\text{rank}(T)$, the dimension of the kernel is called the *nullity* of T and is denoted by $\text{nullity}(T)$.

$\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\} \subset V$, $\text{Im}(T) = \{T(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\} \subset W$, and $\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T))$, $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(\text{Im}(T))$.

The following is a generalization of Theorem 5.7. See also Theorem 7.3.

Theorem 8.6 (8.2.3) *If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation from an n -dimensional vector space V to a vector space W , then*

$$\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = n.$$

Proof. Let $U = \text{Ker}(T)$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$ a basis of $\text{Im}(T)$. Hence $r = \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \text{rank}(T)$. Since $\mathbf{w}_i \in \text{Im}(T) = \{T(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, there exists $\mathbf{v}_i \in V$ such that $f(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{w}_i$. Let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ be a basis of U . Hence $k = \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = \text{nullity}(T)$. Our goal is to show $r + k = n = \dim(V)$. It suffices to show that $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is a basis of V .

Linear Independence: Suppose $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{v}_r + b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k \in \text{Ker}(T)$, by Lemma 8.1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= T(\mathbf{0}) = T(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{v}_r + b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k) \\ &= a_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + a_2T(\mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + a_rT(\mathbf{v}_r) \\ &= a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{w}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Im}(T)$, it is linearly independent. Hence $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_r = 0$. Now the first equation yields $b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{0}$ and $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is a basis of U and linearly independent. Hence $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_k = 0$. Therefore, S is linearly independent.

$V = \text{Span}(S)$: Let $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Since $T(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{Im}(T)$, there exist scalars a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{w}_r$. Let $\mathbf{w} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{v}_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{w}) &= T(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{v}_r) \\ &= a_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + a_2T(\mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + a_rT(\mathbf{v}_r) \\ &= a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{w}_r \\ &= T(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) = T(\mathbf{v}) - T(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \text{Ker}(T)$. Thus there exist scalars b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k such that $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k$ as $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker}(T)$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_r\mathbf{v}_r + b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + b_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + b_k\mathbf{u}_k$$

is in $\text{Span}(S)$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition 8.7 (8.3.1) *If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then the following are equivalent.*

(a) T is one-to-one, i.e., injective.

(b) $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(c) $\text{nullity}(T) = 0$

Proof. By definition (b) \Leftrightarrow (c). Suppose T is one-to-one. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(T)$. Since $\mathbf{0} \in \text{Ker}(T)$, $T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0} = T(\mathbf{v})$. We have $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ as T is one-to-one. Hence $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. This shows (a) \Rightarrow (b).

Suppose $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = T(\mathbf{v}_2)$ with $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$. Then $T(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2) = T(\mathbf{v}_1) - T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Thus $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$. Therefore T is one-to-one. ■

Proposition 8.8 (8.3.2) *If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, and $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator, then the following are equivalent.*

(a) T is one-to-one, i.e., injective.

(b) $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(c) $\text{nullity}(T) = 0$.

(d) The range of T is V , i.e., surjective.

(e) $\text{rank}(T) = \dim V$.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) are already shown in Proposition 8.7. Let $n = \dim V$. then by Theorem 8.6, $n = \text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T)$. Hence $\text{nullity}(T) = 0$ if and only if $\text{rank}(T) = n$. Since $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(\text{Im}(T))$ and $\text{Im}(T)$ is a subspace of V by Proposition 8.5, $\text{rank}(T) = n = \dim V$ if and only if $\text{Im}(T) = V$ by Theorem 4.8 (d). This establishes the equivalence. ■

Exercise 8.1 [Quiz 8] Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ and \mathbf{u} be vectors in \mathbf{R}^3 given below.

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^3$, let $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}$ be the inner product, $U = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$, and $T = \text{proj}_U$. You may quote the facts shown in previous quizzes.

1. Show that $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{v}_1$, $T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{v}_2$, $T(\mathbf{v}_3) = \mathbf{v}_3$ and $T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$.
2. Show that T is a linear transformation using the definition of linear transformations.
3. Show that $T \circ T = T$.
4. Find $\text{Ker}(T)$, $\text{nullity}(T)$, $\text{Im}(T)$ and $\text{rank}(T)$.
5. Show that there is no linear transformation $T' : U \rightarrow U$ such that $T'(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{v}_2$, $T'(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{v}_3$ and $T'(\mathbf{v}_3) = \mathbf{v}_1$.